[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	
	FILED
	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
No. 04-13462	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT APRIL 22, 2005
	· ·
Non-Argument Calendar	THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
	CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 03-60907-CV-DI	LG
DOUGLAS MORRIS,	
	Plaintiff-Appellant,
versus	
ARIZONA BEVERAGE COMPANY, L.L.C., a New York limited liability company,	
	Defendant-Appellee.
Appeal from the United States District	Court
for the Southern District of Florida	Court
(April 22, 2005)	

PER CURIAM:

In this case, the district court granted defendant summary judgment on

Before TJOFLAT, BLACK and PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

plaintiff's age discrimination claims brought under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 *et seq.*, and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Fla. Stat. § 760.01 *et seq.* Record Vol. 4 at Tab 172. Plaintiff now appeals that judgment, contending that material issues of fact preclude summary judgment, and the district court's denial of his motion for reconsideration.

The district court concluded that plaintiff established a *prima facie* case of age discrimination under the McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green, 411 U.S. 792, 93 S. Ct. 1817 (1973), procedural rubric and that defendant had articulated a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for its decision to terminate plaintiff's employment. The court then examined the record to determine whether plaintiff had shown defendant's reason to be a pretext for discrimination. After considering what plaintiff offered on this point, the court concluded that plaintiff's evidence was insufficient to permit a trier of fact to conclude that defendant's reason for terminating the employment was a "pretext for age discrimination or that a discriminatory reason more likely motivated" defendant to discharge plaintiff. Id. at 17.

We find no error in the district court's conclusions, and we discern no abuse

¹ Our analysis of the state law age discrimination claim is the same as our analysis of its federal counterpart.

of discretion in its decision denying plaintiff's motion for reconsideration.

AFFIRMED.